

## MATLAB Assignment 9

When working on this assignment you might want to take a look at MATLAB code that was developed by students who took ME451 in previous years. The students back then did not come up with identical solutions. Take a look at their solutions and develop your own.

2010: <http://sbel.wisc.edu/Courses/ME451/2010/SimEngine2D/index.htm>

2011: <http://sbel.wisc.edu/Courses/ME451/2011/SimEngine2D/index.htm>

Turning in your assignment: place all your files in a directory called "lastName\_Matlab\_09", zip that directory, and upload the resulting file "lastName\_Matlab\_09.zip" in the appropriate Dropbox Folder at Learn@UW.

---

**Problem 1.** Consider the following Initial Value Problem:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = \sin(y) \\ y(0) = 1.0 \end{cases}$$

to be solved on the interval  $t \in [0, 10]$ .

1. Solve the problem using Matlab's `ode45` function with a relative tolerance of  $10^{-10}$  and produce the solution on a regular time grid with step-size  $h = 0.01$ .

### Hints

- To specify the integration tolerance, use the Matlab `odeset` function to create an `OPTIONS` structure which is passed to `ode45`.
- Use `ode45`'s ability to produce solution at specific times by specifying its 2nd argument as `(0:0.01:10)`.
- The call to `ode45` should therefore be something like:

```
[t,y] = ode45(myfun, (0:0.01:10), y0, odeset('Reltol',1e-10));
```

2. Use Forward Euler (FE) to solve the IVP using a step-size  $h = 0.01$ .

Compare the solution obtained with FE to the one obtained with `ode45`. More precisely, generate and **upload to the class forum** a plot that displays the difference between the two solutions as a function of time.

3. Use Backward Euler (BE) to solve the IVP using a step-size  $h = 0.01$ .

Compare the solution obtained with BE to the one obtained with `ode45`. More precisely, generate and **upload to the class forum** a plot that displays the difference between the two solutions as a function of time.

Remark: Recall that BE is an implicit integration formula and you'll have solve a nonlinear system at each time-step.

4. **(Bonus)** Use the Runge-Kutta RK4 method to solve the IVP using a step-size  $h = 0.01$ .

Compare the solution obtained with RK4 to the one obtained with `ode45`. More precisely, generate and **upload to the class forum** a plot that displays the difference between the two solutions as a function of time.

Note: See Problem 2 below for details on RK4.

5. **(Bonus)** Use the 2nd order BDF formula (see lecture slides) to solve the IVP using a step-size  $h = 0.01$ .

Compare the solution obtained with BDF2 to the one obtained with `ode45`. More precisely, generate and **upload to the class forum** a plot that displays the difference between the two solutions as a function of time.

Notes:

- The BDF2 formula is:

$$y_{n+2} - \frac{4}{3}y_{n+1} + \frac{1}{3}y_n = \frac{2}{3}hf(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2})$$

Attention: BDF2 is an implicit integratin formula!

- In order to produce the approximate solution  $y_{n+2}$  at time  $t_{n+2}$ , you need to approximations  $y_{n+1}$  and  $y_n$  which are assumed to have already been obtained. Therefore, you can only apply the BDF method to get  $y_2, y_3, y_4$ , etc. In other words, you cannot use BDF2 to obtain the approximate solution  $y_1$  at  $t_1 = h$  because you do not have  $y_{-1}$ . This being the case, use the RK4 method to get  $y_1$ , and then start using BDF for the rest of the problem. This is one small drawback of BDF, it needs to be "primed" to start.

---

**Problem 2.** **(Bonus)** The goal of this problem is to perform an "order analysis". Basically, what you want to do is to verify that the order of accuracy of Forward Euler is 1 and that the order of accuracy of the Runge-Kutta RK4 method provided below is 4.

In this exercise, use the following IVP:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} &= -0.1y \sin(y) \\ y(0) &= 0.1 \end{cases}$$

and consider the approximate solution (using either FE or RK4) at the final time  $t = 1.5$ .

For the Forward Euler, start with a step size  $h = 0.1$ , then use  $h = 0.01$ ,  $h = 0.001$ , and  $h = 0.0001$  and calculate the corresponding approximate solutions  $y_{FE}(1.5)$ .

In the analysis for RK4, since this is a more accurate formula, you can use larger step-sizes, so please use  $h = 0.25$ ,  $h = 0.3$ ,  $h = 0.5$ , and  $h = 0.75$ .

Generate and **upload to the forum** the two resulting *convergence plots*. These are log-log plots with the value of the step-size on the  $x$ -axis and the value of the numerical error on the  $y$ -axis.

**Notes:**

- How do you obtain the numerical error? In theory, you should use  $|y_{exact}(1.5) - y_{approx}(1.5)|$  to get the error at the end of the interval, where  $y_{approx}$  is the approximate solution obtained using one of the two integration formulas and a certain step-size. However, you don't have  $y_{exact}(1.5)$ . To get a good estimate for this value, one method is to also use a numerical solution but calculated with very high accuracy. For this, you you will have to use Matlab's `ode45` with a very tight tolerance ('RelTol' =  $10^{-10}$ ) so that you get something that comes close to  $y_{exact}(1.5)$ . We will call this solution (obtained with the tight tolerance) the "reference" solution and denote it  $\hat{y}_{exact}(1.5)$ . Then, you can estimate the numerical error for a particular integration formula (FE or RK4) using a particular step-size  $h$  by calculating  $|\hat{y}_{exact}(1.5) - y_{approx}(1.5)|$ .

- Recall that you should use a **log-log** plot (in Matlab, instead of using the `plot` function, simply use the function `loglog`). If everything works well, you should see a straight line with slope 1.0 for Forward Euler, and slope 4.0 for Runge-Kutta. These are the orders of the two methods: 1 and 4, respectively.
- Finally, the Runge-Kutta RK4 approximation  $y_{n+1}$  of the actual solution  $y(t_{n+1})$  is computed as:

$$\begin{aligned}y_{n+1} &= y_n + \frac{h}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) \\t_{n+1} &= t_n + h\end{aligned}$$

where  $h$  is the integration step-size and  $k_1$  through  $k_4$  are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}k_1 &= f(t_n, y_n) \\k_2 &= f(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y_n + \frac{1}{2}hk_1) \\k_3 &= f(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y_n + \frac{1}{2}hk_2) \\k_4 &= f(t_n + h, y_n + hk_3)\end{aligned}$$

---

**Problem 3.** Consider the double pendulum in Fig.6.5.2 (page 233). Notice that a horizontal force is specified on the second body. Assume that this concentrated force always stays horizontal, and its magnitude is always  $5\text{ N}$ . Although not shown, assume that a positive torque  $n = 2.5\text{ N}\cdot\text{m}$  is applied to body 1. Additionally, take  $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ , and  $m_1 = m_2 = 2$  (all units are SI). The mass moment of inertia should be computed based on information in Table 6.1.1 (page 208). The bodies are moving in a gravitational field with  $g = -9.81\text{m/s}^2$ . Use for this problem Cartesian generalized coordinates in conjunction with centroidal reference frames as shown in the textbook.

Use the `doublePend.acf` and `doublePend.adm` files that you generated for your previous MATLAB assignment to carry out Dynamics Analysis of this mechanism using your `simEngine2D`. To this end, you will have to use the Newmark integration formula discussed in class and solve the resulting nonlinear algebraic system obtained at each time step  $t_n$  upon discretization of the constrained equations of motion. For this problem,

1. Generate and **upload to the forum** a plot of the time evolution of the  $x$  coordinate of body 2.
2. Generate and **upload to the forum** a plot of the reaction force induced on body 2 by the presence of the revolute joint connecting bodies 1 and 2. When computing this force, take the point  $P$  in conjunction with which you report the reaction force to be the point where the joint is located on body 2.
3. Just like above, generate and **upload to the forum** a plot of the reaction torque induced on body 2 by the presence of the revolute joint connecting bodies 1 and 2. When computing this reaction torque, take the point  $P$  in conjunction with which you report the reaction torque to be the point where the joint is located on body 2.